## **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF WIND POWER: BIRDS, BATS, AND NATURAL HABITATS**

George C. Ledec Lead Ecologist, Africa Region The World Bank

For further information, contact gledec@worldbank.org

## Isn't Wind Power Already Green?

- **YES**, in terms of carbon emissions and renewability:
  - Fully renewable, near-zero carbon emissions, very low water use.
  - Wind power considered key part of a climate-friendly, lowcarbon energy future.
- **<u>BUT</u>**, there are important environmental and social impacts nonetheless:
- To be fully sustainable, wind power needs to become even Greener by addressing these impacts.

## Main Environmental and Social Impacts of Onshore Wind Power

- <u>Biodiversity</u> Impacts--birds, bats, and natural habitats.
- <u>Local Nuisance</u> Impacts—visual, noise, interference with radar, telecommunications, aviation, etc.
- <u>Socio-economic and Cultural</u> Impacts—land acquisition, local incomes (benefits-sharing), indigenous and traditional communities, physical cultural resources.

Besides wind farms, need to consider <u>complementary infrastructure</u>: transmission lines and access roads.

## Bird Collisions with Wind Power Equipment

- Mostly with wind turbine rotors; some with turbine towers or masts with guy wires.
- Rotor tip speed is very high (even if low RPM); birds get hit by surprise.
- Some bird species are especially collision-prone, e.g. large soaring birds.
- As an example, watch brief video of Eurasian Griffon Vulture struck by wind turbine in Crete, Greece: http:youtu.be/9srPoOU6\_Z4

## Are Wind Turbine Collision Impacts on Birds Really Significant?

Overall, wind turbines kill far fewer birds than other types of human-caused direct mortality, including:

- Collisions with buildings (especially glass)
- Vehicles
- Telecommunications towers
- Outdoor domestic cats
- Pesticides
- Hunting

### But, wind turbine mortality still really matters because:

- Wind turbine mortality can be disproportionately high for vulnerable species (eagles, vultures, storks, etc).
- Cumulative impacts of numerous wind farms along bird migration flyways.

• As wind power scales up quickly, so does bird mortality (without effective mitigation)

### **Examples of Problematic Wind Farms**



Altamont Pass, California, USA

Over 1,000 raptors killed each year (2/MW/year), including about 67 Golden Eagles. "Population sink" for these species.

### **Examples of Problematic Wind Farms**

• Smola Islands, Norway: Had highest concentration of nesting White-tailed Eagles in Europe—breeding population collapsed after 68 turbines installed; 38 dead eagles found 2005-2010.



Navarra, Spain: Two wind farms (~400 turbines) studied.
 Unsustainably high mortality of Eurasian Griffon Vultures, estimated at 8/turbine/year.

• Many wind farms (e.g. Foote Creek Rim, Wyoming, USA) are documented to have fairly low bird mortality.

• For many other wind farms (e.g. in Texas, USA), bird impacts unknown because no monitoring, or data not disclosed.

### Other Impacts of Wind Power on Birds



Displacement from otherwise suitable habitat by tall structures and/or human presence:

- Naturally treeless habitats (natural grasslands, shrub-steppe, etc.).
- Affects prairie grouse; perhaps bustards, other birds of conservation concern; also shy wild mammals.

## Impacts of Wind Power on Bats



- Collision problem probably worse for bats than for birds, because many bats appear attracted to moving rotor blades (for unknown reasons).
- Bat fatalities often higher than bird fatalities at well-monitored wind farms (Mexico La Venta II)
- Bats have naturally low reproductive rates, so scaled-up wind power in sensitive sites could threaten some species

### **Impacts of Wind Power on Natural Habitats**

•Land Clearing (~1-2 ha/MW) for turbine platforms, access roads, construction staging areas, etc.

•Habitat Fragmentation from rows of turbines and connecting roads.

### •Special Cases:

Specialized, endemic ridge-top vegetation may be disproportionately affected (especially in tropics).

Downwind sand dunes might be altered.



## **Biodiversity Impacts of Ancillary Facilities: Transmission Lines**

- Bird Collisions (large-bodied, fast-flying species)
  - Serious threat to some species, e.g. Ludwig's Bustard (Karoo plains of South Africa, Namibia)
  - Wetland sites (bird concentrations)
  - Mitigated through careful alignment; use of BFDs
- Bird Electrocutions (especially raptors)
  - Bird-friendly power pole and wire configurations
  - U.S. Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) <u>www.aplic.org</u>
- Bird Perching and Nesting (mostly benign)
- Forest Fragmentation

## **Biodiversity Impacts of Ancillary** Facilities: Access Roads

# Induced Impacts (from increased human access):

- Deforestation or other land clearing
- Excessive wood cutting
- Hunting of vulnerable species



### Direct Impacts (from civil works):

- Direct loss of natural habitats (ROW, etc.)
- Fragmentation of natural habitats
- Altered drainage patterns
- Pollution or sedimentation
  of aquatic ecosystems
- Disturbance from construction workers
- Wildlife road kills

## Effects on Another Type of "Bird"

### **Aircraft Safety**

- Airport runways and approach paths (existing and future)
- Crop spraying

### **Radar and Telecommunications Interference**

• Likely problem if within line-of-sight

### **Civil and Military Installations**

### Key Environmental and Social Mitigation/ Enhancement Measures

### **PROJECT PLANNING**

•Location, location, location: Careful <u>site selection</u> of wind farms and transmission lines.

•Stakeholder engagement to increase local acceptance and plan <u>compensation</u> and <u>benefits-sharing</u> arrangements.

•Wind power equipment (turbines, masts, lights, transmission lines, power poles): Consider models with reduced biodiversity and/or visual impacts.

•Potential conservation offsets.

## Location, Location, Location: Site Selection of Wind Power Facilities

•Careful site selection is most important tool for minimizing biodiversity and local nuisance impacts, and optimizing social benefits.

•Commercial-scale wind farm locations need good wind conditions and proximity to electric grid. After that, look for sites that avoid or minimize problems with:

- -Bird or bat mortality; damage to natural habitats
- -Adverse visual impacts or shadow flicker
- -Noise (proximity to dwellings)
- -Radar, telecommunications facilities, or airports (existing or planned)
- -Physical displacement or loss of livelihoods
- -Socially conflictive situations
- -Physical cultural resources

## First-Approximation Bird and Bat Risks of Wind Power Sites

### Higher-risk sites:

- -Shorelines (ocean and lake)
- -Small islands
- -Wetlands
- -Migratory bird flyways
- -Mountain ridge-tops
- -Wooded areas
- -Native grasslands
- -Near caves

### Lower-risk sites:

- -Most agricultural land
- -Non-native pastures
- -Deserts (away from coastlines and oases)
- -In general, areas that lack important bird or bat concentrations.

### Site Selection Planning Tool:

### STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

- •Different names, e.g. Regional, Programmatic, Sectoral EAs
- •Usually at level of country or wind resource area
- •Facilitate stakeholder participation in analysis of alternatives
- •Can assess cumulative impacts of multiple wind farms
- •Produce overlay maps of environmental sensitivity data on top of wind resource data
- •Can produce zoning maps that direct wind power investments to less sensitive or conflictive sites
- •Interesting recent example: "Wind Power in Wyoming: Doing it Smart from the Start" identifies Red exclusion zones, Yellow precautions zones, and Green promotion zones for wind power. (http://www.voiceforthewild.org/WindPowerReport.pdf) 17

## **Project Planning Tool:**

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

- Standard project-specific tool to assess environmental (including social) impacts.
- <u>Pre-construction bird and bat studies</u>, especially important at higherrisk sites.
- Enables <u>micro-level turbine site selection</u> to avoid areas of highest bird use (also dwellings, physical cultural resources, shadow flicker).
- <u>Environmental Management Plan</u>: Mitigation/enhancement actions, implementation schedule, budget (investment and recurrent costs), funding commitment.

## Key Environmental and Social Measures: Project Construction

- Turbine installation, staging areas, transmission lines, new or improved access roads.
- Environmental rules for contractors:
  - Minimize clearing of natural vegetation.
  - Proper waste disposal.
  - No contamination of waterways.
  - Chance finds procedures for physical cultural resources
  - No hunting, vegetation burning, off-road driving, speeding, improper behavior towards local residents.
- <u>Bidding documents and contracts</u> should include key environmental requirements.
- Diligent <u>field supervision</u>--you get what you INSPECT, not what you EXPECT!

## Key Environmental and Social Measures: Project Operation

- •Post-construction monitoring of birds and bats.
- •Operational curtailment:
  - -Increased cut-in speeds
  - -Short-term shutdowns
- •Wind farm land management for pre-existing uses, species of conservation concern, other objectives.
- •Managing human access: Local residents, tourists, other visitors; restricting firearms.
- •Equipment maintenance (e.g. capping nacelle holes to keep birds out).

## Post-Construction Monitoring: Why Do It?

### POST-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING IS AN INDISPENSABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT TOOL FOR WIND PROJECTS:

- Only real way to know if significant problem exists.
- Enable adaptive management of wind farm operation.
- Predict likely impacts from scaling-up in the area.
- Advance scientific knowledge (steep learning curve).

### Do it for 2-3 years, longer if problems found.

### Post-Construction Monitoring: Correction Factors

- Key correction factors between observed and actual bird and bat fatalities
- Equation:  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{O} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}$ , where:
  - **M** = Real # Fatalities
  - O = Observed Fatalities
  - A = Area Not Searched
  - S = Searcher Efficiency
  - R = Scavenger Removal
- **M/O** is small (near 1) for very large birds (vultures, eagles, pelicans) but can be much greater (perhaps up to 50) for small birds and bats.
- Estimate **S** and **R** through experimental trials or from other projects at <u>similar sites</u>.

## Increased Turbine Cut-In Speed (for Bats)

• Cut-in speed is the lowest wind speed at which turbines spin and generate power for grid.

•Bats fly around mainly during low winds and at night; low wind speeds yield little electricity.

•Recent "cutting-edge" research from USA (PA), Canada (AB), and Germany shows that increasing the cut-in speed from the usual 3-4 m/s to about 6 m/s reduces bat mortality by 44-93% and power generation by only ~1%.

•Where bat mortality is of concern, <u>raising cut-in speeds at night may be</u> <u>cost-effective mitigation</u>.

## Short-term Shutdowns (for Migratory Birds or Bats)

- During short-term shutdowns, turbine rotor blades are feathered (do not spin).
- Short-term shutdowns can be:
  - Seasonal (during peak migration).
  - Time of day (when birds fly by at rotor-swept height).
  - On-demand in real time (using human spotters, also radar); and/or
  - After maximum "kill quota" is reached.
- Most cost-effective for migratory species that spend small portion of year at the wind farm.
- Technical and financial feasibility has been demonstrated at La Venta II (Mexico) and other wind projects.

## **Conservation Offsets**

- Off-site conservation investments can:
  - Usefully mitigate adverse biodiversity impacts of wind projects.
  - Conserve natural habitats of similar or greater conservation value than those affected by project.
  - Enhance populations of project-affected species, e.g. Hawaiian Petrel; Lesser Prairie Chicken (Oklahoma).
- Need clear implementation responsibilities and adequate funding as part of the wind project.



## **NO Free Lunch!**

<u>All</u> large-scale power generation technologies pose environmental and social challenges:\*

- Coal
- Petroleum
- Natural Gas
- Nuclear
- Hydroelectric
- Biomass
- Solar
- Geothermal
- Wind

\*see Greening the Wind (Full Report, Table 2.1) for details.

### Sustainability Challenges for Wind Power Development

- Low carbon does NOT mean low overall environmental or social impacts.
- <u>Key challenges</u> for scaled-up wind power development:
  - Avoid significant harm to biodiversity.
  - Manage local impacts in ways acceptable to most stakeholders.
  - Promote equitable distribution of economic benefits and costs.
- Many feasible mitigation and enhancement measures exist to make wind an even greener energy source.

### WORLD BANK STUDY NOW AVAILABLE:

### Greening the Wind: Environmental and Social Considerations for Wind <u>Power Development</u>

by George C. Ledec, Kennan W. Rapp, and Roberto G Aiello

### FREE DOWNLOAD:

• Concise <u>Synthesis Report</u> for convenient field use:

www.tinyurl.com/GreeningTheWind2

• Full Report with case studies and detailed analysis:

www.tinyurl.com/GreeningTheWind

### • Both volumes include handy <u>Table of Environmental and Social</u> Impacts and Corresponding Mitigation or Enhancement Options